EXECUTIVĖ SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0369-MLM-E TCEQ ID: RN101498913 CASE NO.: 35481 RESPONDENT NAME: CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET

ORDER TYPE:		
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	_IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<u>X</u> AIR	X MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	X EDWARDS AQUIFER
TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience stores SMALL BUSINESS:X_Yes OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Therefacility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Phillip M. G. Ms. Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Barr Respondent: Mr. Joe D. Savage, M. Georgetown, Texas 78	_ No re are no complaints. There is no record of additionant the ED and the Respondent expressed an interister comment period expired April 5, 2009. Note to be a second of additional experience of the second of t	onal pending enforcement actions regarding this erest in this matter. To comments were received. 12) 239-0675 9 on, MC 128, (512) 239-1896 12) 339-2929

RESPONDENT NAME: CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET Page 2 of 2 DOCKET NO.: 2008-0369-MLM-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:	•	
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Assessed: \$11,875 Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Order Financial Inability to Pay	Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that as of January 22, 2009, the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	SEP Conditional Offset Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$595/\$11,280	 Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Station; Began conducting proper inventory control procedures for all USTs at the Station;
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: December 13, 2007 Date of NOE Relating to this Case: February 20, 2008	The Respondent paid \$595 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$11,280 shall be payable in 24 monthly payments of \$470 each.	Permanently applied or affixed tags, labels, or markings to the UST fill tubes; and
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed July 9, 2008. The Respondent filed an answer and the matter was	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Person Compliance History Classification	Installed a pressure-vacuum relief valve in the vent line. Ordering Provisions:
referred to SOAH. The agreed order was signed January 29, 2009.	High X Average Poor Major Source: Yes X No	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements: 1. Within 30 days install and implement a
Current Compliance Status: Not yet in compliance. MLM:	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	continuous monitoring leak detection system.
1. Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].		Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with this Ordering Provision.
2. Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(c)].		
3. Failed to ensure that a legible tag, label, or marking with the tank number is permanently applied upon or affixed to either the top of the tube or to a non-removable point in the immediate area of the fill tube according to the UST registration and self-certification form [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(C)].	·	
4. Failed to ensure that each vapor balance system vent line is equipped with a pressure-vacuum relief valve set to open at a pressure of no more than eight ounces per square inch [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.222(6) and Tex. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].		
5. Failed to provide a functioning continuous monitoring leak detection system that is capable of immediately alerting of possible leakages [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.5(d)(1)].		

Policy Revision 2	Penalty Calculatio	n Worksheet (P	PCW Revision Feb	oruary 29, 2008
TCEQ DATES Assign P	ned 25-Feb-2008 CW 23-Jan-2009 Screening 29-Feb-2008	EPA Due		
	ent City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City No. RN101498913	y Market Major/Minor Source	Minor	
Media Progran Multi-Me		No. of Violations Order Type Enf. Coordinator EC's Team	1660	
TOTAL BASE PEN	Penalty Calcula NALTY (Sum of violation base penaltic	The second secon	Subtotal 1	\$9,500
Subtotals 2-7 are Compliance h	Enhancement for one 1660 order and one	Enhancement Subt	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$2,375
Culpability No	No violations. No 0% The Respondent does not meet th	Enhancement e culpability criteria.	Subtotal 4	\$0
Extraordi Ordi	Ffort to Comply Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer nary nary N/A X (mark with x) tes The Respondent does not meet the	ta di la Taban disembala di Sentini di Sentin	Subtotal 5	\$0
Literate, Translation for the App		Enhancement* d at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
	ALS 1-7 AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE nal Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	0%	Adjustment	\$11,875 \$0
Notes		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$11,875
STATUTORY LIMI	T ADJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$11,875 \$0
	Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g	g. 20 for 20% reduction.)		
PAYABLE PENAL	TY 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			\$11,875

Screening Date 29-Feb-2008

Docket No. 2008-0369-MLM-E

PCW.

Respondent City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City Market

Case ID No. 35481

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
PCW Revision February 29, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101498913

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

	ia [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Coordinator Steven Lopez			
	Compliance History Worksheet ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)	oranis.		
Compone		Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
Judgmen			0%	
and Conse Decrees	- Lany adjudicated tipal court judamente and detault judamente, or non-adjudicated tipal court		0%	
Conviction	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emission	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
, tudito	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
001	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	t No	0%	
	Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 2) [25%
>> Repeat Violator	Subtotal 3)			
	No Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	ubtotal 3)	0%
>> Compliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	esi eviniind		
Average	Performer Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 7) [0%
>> Compliance Hist	ory Summary Company Co		ballar il.	
Complian History Notes		s.		
	Total Adjustment Percentage	e (Subtotals 2	2, 3, & 7)	25%
	•			

Screening Date	e 29-Feb-2008	PCW
Responden	t City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City Market	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No	o. 35481	PCW Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No	. RN101498913	
Media [Statute	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinato	r Steven Lopez	
Violation Numbe	r 1	
Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)
Violation Descriptio	Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (exceed 35 days between each monitoring) .	not to
	Base i	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matrix	
(a)	Harm	·
Releas		
OR Actual		
Potentia Potentia	Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	n Major Moderate Minor	,
	Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes Human he	alth or the environment could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels the rotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	nat are
	Adjustment	\$7,500
	Annual Control of Cont	
At the second second		\$2,500
Violation Events		
Number of V	iolation Events 1 78 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly	Penalty \$2,500
	single event	
One quarte	orly event is recommended from the December 13, 2007 investigation date to the Fe 29, 2008 screening date.	bruary
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$72 Violation Final Penals	ty Total \$3,125
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	' limits) \$3,125

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	: City Market Gro	oup of Sun City, L.	P. dba City Market			eriya da karanta da ka Karanta karanta da kar	
Case ID No.	35481		•			•	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Stora	age Tank					Years of
Violation No.		age rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.		and the second	g. 4			l'::I	
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$				Elektrich Liefe		1. 1 4. M
Delayed Costs	ar e care e ;		eren garanta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta e				
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0_
Record Keeping System				0,0	\$0	/ in/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		L		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	13-Dec-2007	29-Nov-2008	1.0	\$72	n/a	\$72
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		investiga	tion. The Final Da	ite is the	expected date of	e Date Required is the compliance. one-time avoided compliance.	
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	. \$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,500			TOTAL		\$72

	ate 29-Feb-2008 Docket No. 2008-0369-MLM-E	PGW
Respond	one only market eroup or our engrand	y Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID	140. 00401	CW Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference	No. RN101498913	
Media [Statu	Ite] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordina	ator Steven Lopez	
Violation Nun	nber 2	
Rule Cit	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(c)	A
Violation Descrip	Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system.	
>> Environmental, Prope	Base Pena rty and Human Health Matrix	ty \$10,000
	Harm	
\$04.00 Provide White April 200	ease Major Moderate Minor	
OR A	ctual Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
_ Falsifica		
	Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes Human	health or the environment could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that ar protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
	Adjustment \$7,5	00
Control of Characteristics (Characteristics Characteristics Ch		\$2,500
		Ψ2,000
Violation Events		
	of Violation Events 1 78 Number of violation days	
Number	of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
mark only with ar	1287 - Maria (1977) - Carrier - Carr	\$2,500
One qu	arterly event is recommended from the December 13, 2007 investigation date to the Februa 29, 2008 screening date.	ıry
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	or this violation Statutory Limit Test	
to a such a later a continue of the continue o	mated EB Amount \$22 Violation Final Penalty To	otai \$3,125
Latin		
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lim	its) \$3,125

	A. A. A. B.	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	and the second of the second		P. dba City Market			and the second of the second	
Case ID No		dp of our oity, E.	i . aba Oity Warket				•
Reg. Ent. Reference No							
	Petroleum Stora	age Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No	. 2		a way or a common or			,	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	;					1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$O	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	· \$500	13-Dec-2007	29-Oct-2008	0.0	\$0 \$22	n/a	\$0 \$22
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cost	t to conduct invent	tory control procedo Final Date is the e			s the date of the inve	estigation. The
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	one-time avoided c	osts)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	T			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
	L						

Screening Da	te 29-Feb-2008	Docl	ket No. 2008-0369-MLM-E	PCW
Responde	nt City Market Grou	p of Sun City, L.P. dba City N	1arket	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002
Case ID N		•		PCW Revision February 29, 2000
Reg. Ent. Reference N	o. RN101498913			
Media [Statut	e] Petroleum Storag	ge Tank		
Enf. Coordinate	or Steven Lopez			
Violation Numb				
Rule Cite	(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code	e § 334.8(c)(5)(C)	
Violation Descripti	applied upon or	affixed to either the top of the	arking with the tank number is pe fill tube or to a nonremovable p the UST registration and self-cer	oint in the
			Bas	se Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Propert	7 and Human He			,
Relea	se Major M	Harm loderate Minor		
OR Actu	ıal		Percent 0%	
			<u></u>	•
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification Falsification	on Major M	loderate Minor		ı
	x		Percent 10%	
Matrix Notes	1	100% of the rule requiement v	was not met.	
			Adjustment	\$9,000
The state of the s	yay ya tan karatar tan 1964 da karatar karatar 1964 da karatar karatar 1964 da karatar karatar 1964 da karatar		District and the American and the American and the American and the American and American	\$1,00
				\$1,00
Violation Events				
Number of	Violation Events	1	78 Number of violation da	ays
mark only o with an x	daily monthly	X	Violation Ba	se Penalty \$1,000
One singl	e event is recommer	nded based on documentation 2007 investigation	n of the violation during the Dece	ember 13,
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit Te	est
Estima	ted EB Amount	\$1	Violation Final Per	nalty Total \$1,25
the mention the desirable section is that a section of the section in the section in the section is a section of	en anno agus anno que por plones de medicale de agus antos son o 10 securis de 20 paleiros.	This violation Fina	al Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$1,25
[15:75] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [1		上于2014年上海的原理基础的基本的建设器(1917	中心一个经历经历的发展的发展的发展的发展。	SEARCH SERVICE CONTRACTOR SERVICE

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		***************************************
Respondent	City Market Gro	up of Sun City, L.	P. dba City Market			and the second of the second	and the second section of the second second
Case ID No.			,				•
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Stora	age Tank					Years of
Violation No.		ge rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	. 3	and the second	a ja jamiya ii			M	•
				15.5		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	* .			the second of the		
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0,0	\$0	a≗ a n/a a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	‰ ≋ n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$30	13-Dec-2007	29-Nov-2008	1.0	\$1	n/a	\$1 .
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			estimated	date of	compliance.	gation date and the one-time avoided c	
Disposal		OALIZE [1] avoic	led costs before c	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment		V		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$30]		TOTAL		\$1

1 '	ening Date				ket No. 2008-036	9-MLM-E		PCW
			Froup of Sun C	City, L.P. dba City N	/larket		Policy R	evision 2 (September 2002)
1	Case ID No.						PCW	Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Re	ference No.	RN10149891	3		*			
	lia [Statute]							
i	Coordinator		?					
Viol	ation Number							1
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. A	dmin. Code §	115.222(6) and Tex	x. Health and Safet	y Code § 382.0	85(b)	
Violatio	n Description	vacuum relie	of valve set to	vapor balance sys open at a pressure tt line was not equip	of no more than e	ight ounces per	square	
						Base	Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental	I, Property a	nd Human	Health Mat	irix				
	e en training de la late de la la	College of the control of the Control of the Asset In College Asset In Col	Harm	land Alland (Alland) (American program in American) and the section of the sectio		and declarated control to the latter and declarated with		
OR	Release Actual	Major	Moderate	Minor				
	Potential		X		Percent	10%		
	, 0.0					1070		
>>Programmatic	Matrix							
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	and the contract and th			
					Percent	0%		
								1
Matrix				could be exposed				
Notes	would not ex	ceed levels th	at are protecti	ive of human health the violation.	or environmental i	eceptors as a r	esuit oi	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
					Adjustmen	t	\$9,000	
SEALINER MOLLANGELL MERCHONOMINUN INCONSCIONALIN INCO	variabilita Luvar (1604) variabilita bilik birili	Dielo Mil v Janeiro I. L. 192 de 1921	dar die 19 dar i darien symbolisk		ar an	:#I		
							ļ	\$1,000
Violation Events					Train ne de la comp			
VIOIATION		12:17:30 20. 31. 22						:
	Number of Vio	lation Events	1		78 Number o	of violation days	;	
	_	_		· ·				
		daily						
		monthly				#-1-# B	5 141	\$1,000
	mark only one with an x	quarterly semiannual	X		`	/iolation Base	Penaity	\$1,000
		annual						
		single event						
		- Commence and Commence and Entertained		1				
	One quarterly	v event is reco	mmended fro	m the December 1	3 2007 investigation	on date to the F	ehruary	
	one quarten,	, 0,0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, 2008 screening da				
-	L			<u>-</u>				
Economic Benefit	t (EB) for thi	s violation			Statuto	ry Limit Test		
	F-411			കരി	VB=1.49	an Final Bassi	£. T.4	₽4.050
	Estimated	I EB Amount[\$6	violati	on Final Penal	ty i otal	\$1,250
			-	This violation Fina	al Assessed Penal	ty (adjusted fo	r limits)[\$1,250

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Responden	t City Market Gro	up of Sun City, L.F	P. dba City Market		•		and a second second
Case ID No							
Reg. Ent. Reference No	. RN101498913						
. =	a Petroleum Stora	age Tank					Years of
Violation No		ago raint				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No)• 4			1000		1	•
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	n No commas or \$	1					
Delayed Costs	s						
Equipment	\$86	13-Dec-2007	29-Nov-2008	1.0	\$0	\$6	\$6
Buildings	433			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		· t	he Final Date is es		date of compliant	equired is the investig ce.	gation date and
A!				-4	Mana / sees and fan		
Avoided Costs		CALILL [1] avoid	tu costs belole e			one-time avoided c	
Disposal	Am	CALIZE [1] avoid	eu costs pelole e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel			ed costs before e	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			ed Costs Deloie e	0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			eu costs belole e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			ed Costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)			ed costs service e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening	Date 29-Feb-2008	13.74	Do	ocket No. 2008-036	9-MLM-E) P(CW
Respon	ident City Market G	roup of Sun City	, L.P. dba Cit	y Market		Policy Revision 2 (September	r 2002)
Case II	No. 35481					PCW Revision February 29	9, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference	e No. RN101498913	3					
	i tute] Petroleum Sto						
1	nator Steven Lopez						
Violation Nu	umber 5						
Rule 0	Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.5(d)(1)					
Violation Descr	iption Failed to p			us monitoring leak det lerting of possible leak		at is	
					Base P	enalty \$10	0,000
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human	and the state of t				*	
Re	elease Major	Harm Moderate	Minor				
155650000000000000000000000000000000000	Actual						
Po	tential x			Percent	25%		
>>Programmatic Matrix							
Falsific	cation Major	Moderate	Minor			*	
	I III	Medorate		Percent	0%		
	,I						
Matrix Notes Human				d to pollutants which w receptors as a result o	of the violation.		
				Adjustmen	t	\$7,500	i
						\$2	2,500
))Z=8,723,727,63,502,012,73,102,43,73,102,43		-	
Violation Events							
Number	r of Violation Events	1		78 Number o	f violation days	~	
	<u>L</u>				•		
	daily						
mark on with a		х		V	/iolation Base P	enalty \$2	2,500
On	e quarterly event is r		om the investi ate of Februa	igation date of Deceminy 29, 2008.	ber 13, 2007 to th	ne	***************************************
Economic Benefit (EB) f	or this violation			• Statuto	ry Limit Test		
Esti	imated EB Amount∫		\$1,013	Violati	on Final Penalty	Total \$3	3,125
	<u></u>						
		Thi	s violation Fi	inal Assessed Penali	ty (adjusted for I	imits) \$3	3,125

	E	conomic	Benefit W	/orks	sheet		
Respondent	City Market Gro	un of Sun City, L.	P. dba City Marke	t	and the second of the		
Case ID No	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-			
Reg. Ent. Reference No	-						
	Petroleum Stora	ago Tank				***************************************	Years of
Violation No		ige rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No	. 3				1.0	1.11	the same of the sa
	the second					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs			es prosente je				1 77.173
Equipment	\$15,000	13-Dec-2007	29-Nov-2008	1.0	\$48	\$964	\$1,013
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		************		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cost t		al monitoring for re he Final Date is th			Required is the date ance.	of investigation.
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid	led costs before	entering	item (except for	one-time avoided c	osts)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0 ·	\$0
Other (as needed)			<u></u>	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$15,000]		TOTAL		\$1,013

Compliance History

Custom	er/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602735722	City Market Group of	Sun City, L.P.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 16.00
Regulat	ted Entity:	RN101498913	CITY MARKET		Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 16.00
ID Num	ber(s):	PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION		REGISTRA	TION	70055
Location	n:	440 DEL WEBB 6	BLVD, SUITE 200, GEO	RGETOWN, TX,	Rating Date: September 01 07	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ F	Region:	REGION 11 - AU	STIN			
Date Co	ompliance History Prepared:	March 12, 2008				
Agency	Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Complia	ance Period:	March 12, 2003 to	o March 12, 2008			
TCEQ S	Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info	mation Regarding t	his Compliance History			
Name:	Steven Lopez	Ph	one: 512-239-18	396		
		Site C	ompliance History (Components		
1. Has t	the site been in existence and/or operation	for the full five year	compliance period?	Yes		
2. Has t	there been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during th	e compliance period?	Yes		
3. If Yes	s, who is the current owner?			City Marke	t Group of Sun City, L.P.	
4. if Ye	es, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			Chanman's	Grocery, Inc.	
5. Whe	en did the change(s) in ownership occur?			11/05/2004		
Compo	onents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
Α.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judger	nents, and consent	decrees of the state of	Texas and the federal	government.	
	Oltalion.	rance for taking con	er I 37.815(b) acility, Chapman's Groc rective action and for co	ery is alleged to have mpensating third part	ies for bodily injury and	,
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the feder	ral government.			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.					
	N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (C 1 06/24/2003 (276661) 2 10/01/2004 (290424) 3 02/19/2008 (611932)	CCEDS Inv. Track. N	40.)			
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC	EDS Inv. Track. No	o.)			
	30 TAC Cha	pter 37, SubChapter pter 37, SubChapter pvide acceptable fina	r I 37.815(a) r I 37.815(b)	Classification: Mod	lerate	
F.	Environmental audits. N/A					
^	Type of environmental management sy	etome (EMSe)				

· N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET RN101498913

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0369-MLM-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City Market ("City Market") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and City Market appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. City Market owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 440 Del Webb Boulevard, Suite 200 in Georgetown, Williamson County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382, Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and City Market agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that City Market is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. City Market received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 25, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by City Market of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- An administrative penalty in the amount of eleven thousand eight hundred seventy-five 6. dollars (\$11,875) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). City Market has paid five hundred ninety-five dollars (\$595.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of eleven thousand two hundred eighty dollars (\$11,280.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in twenty four monthly payments of four hundred seventy dollars (\$470.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If City Market fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of City Market to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure of City Market to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.
- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and City Market have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that City Market has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station as of January 22, 2009 in response to this enforcement action:
 - a. Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Station, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50;
 - b. Began conducting proper inventory control procedures for all USTs at the Station, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48;
 - c. Permanently applied or affixed tags, labels, or markings to the UST fill tubes, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8; and
 - d. Installed a pressure-vacuum relief valve in the vent line, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.222.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if

CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET DOCKET NO. 2008-0369-MLM-E Page 3

the Executive Director determines that City Market has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

City Market is alleged to have violated:

- 1. 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) by failing to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2007.
- 2. 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.48(c) by failing to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for the UST system, as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2007.
- 3. 30 Tex. Adding. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(C) by failing to ensure that a legible tag, label, or marking with the tank number is permanently applied upon or affixed to either the top of the fill tube or to a nonremovable point in the immediate area of the fill tube according to the UST registration and self-certification form, as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2007.
- 4. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.222(6) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b) by failing to ensure that each vapor balance system vent line is equipped with a pressure-vacuum relief valve set to open at a pressure of no more than eight ounces per square inch, as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2007. Specifically, the vent line was not equipped with a pressure-vacuum relief valve.
- 5. 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 213.5(d)(1) by failing to provide a functioning continuous monitoring leak detection system that is capable of immediately alerting of possible leakages, as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2007.

III. DENIALS

City Market generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that City Market pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and City Market's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City Market, Docket No. 2008-0369-MLM-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. City Market shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, City Market shall install and implement a continuous monitoring leak detection system, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.5.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, City Market shall submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET DOCKET NO. 2008-0369-MLM-E Page 5

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section, Manager Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2800 S IH 35, Suite 100 Austin, Texas 78704-5712

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon City Market. City Market is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If City Market fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, City Market's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. City Market shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. City Market shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after City Market becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by City Market shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until City Market receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against City Market in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET DOCKET NO. 2008-0369-MLM-E Page 6

- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to City Market, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to City Market, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

CITY MARKET GROUP OF SUN CITY, L.P. DBA CITY MARKET DOCKET NO. 2008-0369-MLM-E Page 7

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
For the Commission
March 3, 2009
For the Executive Director Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am
authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my
signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the
TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or
my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:
A negative impact on my compliance history;
Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
• Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief,
additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
• Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against
me; and
• TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
in addition, any faishication of any comphanic documents may result in orinimal prospection.
1-28-09
Signature Date
Joe Gavage Managing Farther
Name (Printed or typed) Title
Authorized representative of
City Market Group of Sun City, L.P. dba City Market